

American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO

1300 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005

June 18, 2009

William Burrus

President

202-842-4246 (Office) (Fax)

National Executive Board

William Burrus

Cliff "C. J." Guffey Executive Vice President

Terry Stapleton Secretary-Treasurer

Greg Bell Industrial Relations Director

James "Jim" McCarthy Director, Clerk Division

Steven G. "Steve" Raymer Director, Maintenance Division

Robert C. "Bob" Pritchard Director, MVS Division

Bill Manley
Director, Support Services Division

Sharyn M. Stone Central Region Coordinator

Mike Gallagher Eastern Region Coordinator

Elizabeth "Liz" Powell Northeast Region Coordinator

William "Bill" Sullivan

Southern Region Coordinator

Omar M. Gonzalez Western Region Coordinator Bill Daigneault United States Postal Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza Washington, DC 20260

Dear Mr. Daigneault:

I am responding to the notice to the union by Doug Tulino regarding the study of switching to five-day delivery. I note that the request for input by our union is "on the impact of implementation of the above-referenced scenario." This request for input is therefore not on the decision whether or not to reduce delivery days but to share our views on its implementation.

Notwithstanding the narrowness of the request, the American Postal Workers submits in the strongest possible terms our insistence that the Postal Service refrain from conversion to five-day delivery. The consequences of the proposed change far outweigh the expected monetary benefits associated with delivery reduction. Six day delivery is deeply ingrained in the American culture and the role of the government in the communications system. Voluntary modification will have untold consequences, some which can be anticipated and adjusted accordingly while others will be irreversible changes that are unknown at this time but will be of equal importance. If enacted, history will record this act as the first step in the dismantling of the United States mail system.

As intended, reduction of delivery days will result in negative changes to employee staffing and scheduling. It is assumed that your staff has justified the serious changes it will afflict on employee reductions intended to reduce the employer's personnel cost; however, any **service organization** that **reduces service** invites its demise.

This decision is the worst possible response to unusual circumstances that have adversely affected mail volume. Reduction of delivery assumes that the economy will not recover and mail volume will never return as a viable medium for commercial activities. I strongly disagree and advise that you **don't do it!**

Sincerely.

William Burrus President

WB:RB:hjp//opeiu#2/afl-cio