

UNITED STATES POSTAC SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260

ANTICLE -	6
SECTION_	
SUBJECT	a.l
CIRIE	WITY

OCT 12 1984

Mr. Jim Lingberg
National Representative-at-Large
Maintenance Craft Division
American Postal Workers Union,
AFL-CIO
817 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

Re: L. Rawlings
Ft. Worth, TX 76101
HlC-3A-C 33696

Dear Mr. Lingberg:

On October 10, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The question raised in this case is whether the grievant was improperly required to begin a new 6 year period in a work status in order to achieve protected status on returning to duty after an absence of more than one year.

The union contends that Article 6.A.3. did not intend to include time on maternity leave as time not worked for purposes of retaining protected status.

During our discussion, we agreed to resolve this case based on our having no dispute relative to the meaning and intent of Article 6.A.3(a)(3).

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as your acknowledgment of agreement to resolve this case.

Sincerely,

Margaret H. Oliver

Labor Relations Department,

Jim Lingberg /

National Representative at-

Large

Maintenance Craft Division American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO