



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

475 L'Enfant Plaza SW  
Washington, DC 20260

February 8, 1982

Mr. James I. Adams  
Assistant Director  
Maintenance Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFL-CIO  
817 - 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

ARTICLE	8
SECTION	5 A
SUBJECT	204B
	on ODL

Re: Class Action  
Gainesville, GA 30501  
H1C-3D-C 11691

Dear Mr. Adams:

On November 8, 1982, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The question in this grievance is whether or not management violated the 1981 National Agreement by allowing a clerk who was to serve his full regular tour as a 204B, to work 2 hours craft overtime before tour.

According to the file, overtime was needed on the day in question due to major scheme changes and a 30 percent increase in mail volume. All available employees on the Overtime Desired List were utilized. Further, we note that this employee was on the Overtime Desired List.

The Postal Service takes the position that the employee had not served as a 204B on the workday preceding the day in question nor had he actually begun working in the 204B capacity on the day in question. He was eligible for the overtime.

Since the union has not alleged or proven any other irregularity, this grievance is denied.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

  
Robert L. Eugene  
Labor Relations Department

The following case has similar resolutions: H1C-3D-C-11690