



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

ARTICLE	13
SECTION	
SUBJECT	REHAB
	SCHEDULE CHANGE

Mr. Gerald Anderson  
Assistant Director  
Clerk Division  
American Postal Workers  
Union, AFL-CIO  
817 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

Re: V. Smith  
Dayton, OH 45401  
H1C-4F-C 20665

Dear Mr. Anderson:

On January 20, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether management properly changed the assignment of the grievant who was reemployed under the Rehabilitation Program.

The facts in this case indicate that on May 17, 1982, the grievant was reemployed under the Rehabilitation Program. The grievant accepted a position as a distribution clerk in the Express Mail Unit. On May 28, 1983, the grievant's assignment was changed based on the fact that work was no longer available for the grievant in the Express Mail Unit.

The union contends that management may not arbitrarily reassign an employee that was previously reemployed under the Rehabilitation Program to a specific position.

It is the position of the Postal Service that the change in the assignment was necessitated by the fact that work for the grievant was no longer available in the Express Mail Unit. Therefore, the grievant was assigned to duties in the nonpreferential mail unit within her medical limitations.