Mr. James Connors
Assistant Director
Clerk Craft Division
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
817 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

ARTIPLE 17 SECTION SUBJECT Franchiscope Productionary Productionary Company Productionary Company Comp

Re: APWU - Local
Salt Lake City, UT 34199
H1C-5L-C 25010

Dear Mr. Connors:

On October 4, 1984, we met to discuss the above-cantioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The question raised in this grievance is whether management violated the National Agreement by denying the union's request involving a probationary employee's performance evaluation and termination.

In this grievance, on April 13, 1984, a probationary employee approached a union representative because she had been refused grievance time. On the same date, she had been given a notice of removal to become effective on April 18, 1984.

The union representative asked the supervisor about the situation and was told that probationary employees had no rights under the grievance procedure. The union representative then requested time to investigate the circumstances surrounding the probationary employee's recent performance evaluation. The request was denied.

It is the position of the Postal Service that under the circumstances described in this grievance, denial of the union's request was appropriate. Article 12 specifically excludes probationary period employees from coverage under the grievance procedure when termination is involved. In our view, the sequence of events which led to this grievance