



RECEIVED

SEP 10 1984

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

*Richard I. Wevodau*  
MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL  
AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION

Mr. Richard I. Wevodau  
Director  
Maintenance Craft Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFU-CIO  
817 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

SEP 18 1984

ARTICLE \_\_\_\_\_  
SECTION \_\_\_\_\_  
SUBJECT Notice of Intent  
Required Training

Re: P. Nelan  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901  
H1T-1N-C 32187

Dear Mr. Wevodau:

On September 4, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The question in this grievance is whether management violated the National Agreement by not promoting the grievant upon completion of Mark II training.

According to the grievance file, the grievant's promotion to MPE-3 was withheld pending completion of two courses listed as requirements on the notice of intent. The courses were Mark II and MPLSM.

It is our position that no national interpretive issue involving the terms and conditions of the National Agreement is fairly presented in this case. Inasmuch as the union declined mutual agreement in this regard, however, the following represents the decision of the Postal Service on the particular fact circumstances involved.

In this case, we find no contractual violation. Management has determined that in order to be qualified for promotion to this particular assignment, employees must successfully complete Mark II, and MPLSM training. On review of the matter, we find no basis for interfering with that decision. Accordingly, the grievance is denied.

Sincerely,

*Margaret H. Oliver*

Margaret H. Oliver  
Labor Relations Department